UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff, *

-v- 15-CR-78 *

ROY S. REDEYE, *

Defendant. *

Transcript of Sentencing regarding the above-referenced matter, held before the Honorable Lawrence E. Kahn, Senior United States District Court Judge, at the James T. Foley United States Courthouse, 445 Broadway, Albany, New York, on April 6, 2016.

FOR THE GOVERNMENT:

OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
445 Broadway
Albany, New York 12207
By: Richard Belliss, AUSA

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

DEAN SCHNELLER, ESQ. 121 Bridge Street Plattsburgh, NY 12901 **-**U.S. v REDEYE - 15-CR-78 -

1 COURT CLERK: Wednesday, April 6th, 2016, the 2 case is United States of America versus Roy Redeye, case 3 number 15-CR-78. We are here for sentencing. May we 4 have appearances for the record please. 5 MR. BELLISS: Good morning, your Honor. Rick 6 Belliss on behalf of the United States; I'm sitting in 7 today for Ms. Horsman. 8 THE COURT: Mr. Belliss. 9 MR. SCHNELLER: Good morning, your Honor. 10 Schneller on behalf of the defendant, Roy Redeye, who is 11 here in court. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Schneller and Mr. Redeye. 13 Mr. Schneller, did you have an opportunity to look over the pre-sentence investigation report? 14 15 MR. SCHNELLER: Yes, Judge. 16 THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your 17 client, Mr. Redeye, and explain it to him? 18 MR. SCHNELLER: Yes, Judge, written 19 communications too. 20 THE COURT: Mr. Redeye, you read the 21 pre-sentence report and discussed it with your attorney? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have. 23 THE COURT: You understand it? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 25 THE COURT: And, Mr. Belliss, on behalf of the Lisa L. Tennyson, CSR, RMR, FCRR UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT - NDNY

-U.S. v REDEYE - 15-CR-78 -

U.S. Attorney's Office, you read it and any objections to the factual contents?

MR. BELLISS: I have read it, your Honor, and no objections.

THE COURT: Did you have any objections to the factual content, Mr. Schneller?

MR. SCHNELLER: There was some minor points on his criminal history but in general, no, my objections were to the U.S.S.G. scoring.

THE COURT: Okay. Except for that, Mr. Redeye, what your lawyer just said, did you have any objections to the factual contents?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: The Court adopts the report by a preponderance of the evidence. At least -- is there any motions? Anything you wish to say before I proceed to the defense, Mr. Belliss?

MR. BELLISS: Your Honor, if necessary, we will make the motion for the third point for acceptance.

THE COURT: Okay. Yes, right. Okay. And,
Mr. Schneller, anything you wish to say on behalf of your
client before I pass sentence on him?

MR. SCHNELLER: Yes, Judge. If I can just clarify. Focus specifically on our objections now or should we focus on the whole sentencing argument?

-U.S. v REDEYE - 15-CR-78 -

1 THE COURT: I am sorry? 2 MR. SCHNELLER: Do you want me to focus right now on part of our objections to the sentence scoring or 3 4 should I make a whole argument? 5 THE COURT: Anything you wish to say --MR. SCHNELLER: Okay. 6 7 THE COURT: -- in his defense? MR. SCHNELLER: Judge, the -- first on the 8 9 scoring, we do have two objections. First, I don't 10 believe the -- we don't believe two-point upward 11 enhancement for multiple threats per Section 2A6 is 12 appropriate. 13 THE COURT: I see your submissions but go ahead. 14 15 MR. SCHNELLER: Okay. So I will again -- if 16 you've seen the submission, I will rely on that. 17 very briefly, we looked at one -- count one alleges 18 threat against officers name P.H. We looked to the 19 transcript of the threats and that is only mentioned 20 once, so -- because the counts are separated out, count 21 one is focused on B.L. and two count is focused on R.S. 22 and there's -- each of those individuals are only 23 mentioned once in each posting. We don't believe this 24 two-threat enhancement for each count is appropriate. 25 Furthermore, on the second objection, we don't

believe the three-point upward enhancement under Section 3A1.2(a) is appropriate. First off, recognizing sensitivity between the U.S. government relations with tribal nations, I believe the Saint Regis demonstrated through just facts and through Court decisions that the Saint Regis Mohawk tribe is a quasi-sovereign nation and because of that relationship, I don't believe there's enough guidance in the explanatory notes or the -- this enhancement to deem tribal police officers as government -- as United States government employees.

The real focus of that objection, though, is whether or not Mr. Redeye's threats were motivated by that status. There's been a lot of speculation from Mr. Redeye, from the government, from any involved in this case as to why he made those statements and there's no real clear answer. The best Mr. Redeye could come up with is he had one interaction with one of those officers about five years ago, which Mr. Redeye recalls being essentially assaulted by the officer and may have had some personal animus toward that officer, directed towards this person, not towards his status as a tribal police officer.

So there's some suggestions in the explanatory notes as well as case law. That is a heavy burden to show that his statements were motivated specifically

based on their status as government employees. We are left with speculation. I don't think that's enough. So we don't believe that three-point enhancement is appropriate.

Now, turning to the big picture, Judge, there's no dispute what was said was vile, was not proper, but what it was was a Facebook post on Mr. Redeye's own page. That's an important distinction. You know, as technology changes, so does the means to communicate. Mr. Redeye's statements, they were clearly filled with grammatical errors, clearly not cohesive, and they were made to his own board. He didn't send them directly to either officer and didn't call them in the middle of the night, didn't send them or repeat a series of messages. He doesn't know why he did it. He admits he did it but, again, it's a very passive form of an outburst.

We look to the content of his life. Mr. Redeye will explain further, his parents died, his sister was diagnosed with lung cancer, he was dealing with a lot of personal issues and he, in fact, was trying to seek treatment for his own mental health during this timeframe. He's crashing on his couch, alone in an apartment, drank a few beers, smoked a joint and made some statements. The next morning he woke up, realized exactly what he did, deleted them and a few days later

-U.S. v REDEYE - 15-CR-78 -

got a knock on his door. He's consistently admitted responsibility for his actions. He has -- while -- from my own end, I explored a motion to dismiss based on the legal sufficiency of those charges and that was denied. As soon as it was denied, Mr. Redeye pled guilty to those counts. So he has accepted responsibility. The charges themselves, you know, from our perspective, certainly vile, they are very passive and it wasn't a continuous course of conduct, it was a random outburst, essentially on a soapbox speaking to himself on a drunken stupor and made a really stupid decision.

Ultimately, Judge, if I remember, he's been in custody for about 14 months at this point. A lot of the scoring they see with -- the government is asking for 33 months, we believe is harsh and excessive. What we looked -- actually, what was -- what was done by Mr. Redeye, he's learned his lesson not only because he's been in jail but because he's missed a lot of family events, both tragic and positive, including his son, including the death of his sister. He's had a hard time while he's been in custody.

His girlfriend remains supportive. They have a two-year-old son together. He's willing to accept post-release supervision. We believe that's enough control and really, Judge, we're kind of throwing our

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hands up saying enough is enough. What more benefit is there to hold him in custody for another 20 months for a stupid Facebook post. He's unable to pay a fine, and I believe he wants to address the Court as well but we would request a sentence of time served.

THE COURT: Very good. Mr. Redeye, is there anything you wish to say before I pass sentence on you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Yes. First off, I would like to give my apology to the people involved in this incident. Truly regret the posting of comments on my Facebook page. The 14 months that I have been in custody, I have missed a lot. My sister passed away in May of 2015 to lung cancer, I missed my son's second birthday and I missed my daughter's 15th birthday. prior years we -- in the prior years leading up to my arrest there has been one hardship after another, by the passing of my father in 2012, the passing of my mother in Her death hit me the hardest, still hits me to this day, then my brother's heart surgery and my sister's diagnosed lung cancer, both in 2014.

At the time I was a mental wreck, that's why I started mental health. I found out what I had wrong with me and started treatment. I know I have a bad record, I won't deny it, but more time ain't the answer. Me being reunited with my children is. So please, I'm begging the

Court for a sentence of time served. I believe missing my sister's funeral and missing out on time with my children in 14 months I've been in custody is punishment enough. Any more time would be excessive. I am truly sorry for what I posted. If I could go back and do it over, I would. I would have not posted these -- those comments.

I don't believe sending me to prison for posting comments that I don't remember posting and have no intention of going through with it and deleting them the next morning. Let time served be sufficient. I have seen cases and the same as mine where they posted a lot worse and way more threats, a lot have been vacated because of the alone innocent decisions. Others have had no victim enhancement. So, again, please, I'm asking for time served so I can go home and be with my family. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I've considered all the aspects of this case and I realize you, as many people, have defined that life has a lot of tough things that happen. You've been through certainly your share, but the fact is, you've got to move on. You've got two young children that need you and I know sometimes you get angry but these days no one gets away with anything because everything is seen by everybody, as you found out

-U.S. v REDEYE - 15-CR-78 -

with your computer and everywhere you go, everyone knows that. So it's up to you to sort of change your attitude maybe and your direction and hopefully you're not going to be back here and -- but I have reviewed and considered all of the pertinent information, including, but not limited to, the pre-sentence report, the plea agreement, the submissions by counsel, the factors outlined in the law, and I find the total offense level is 16, Criminal History Category is IV and the guideline imprisonment range is 33 to 41 months.

The Court finds that the enhancements, which are disputed by defense and on the record, are still applicable based on the overall facts of this case.

Upon your plea of guilty to counts one and two of the third superseding indictment, it's the judgment of the Court that you are hereby committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of 38 months on each of count one and two to run concurrently, for a total imprisonment of 38 months.

I believe that a sentence at the high end of the guideline range is appropriate and sufficient though but not greater than necessary to meet the goals of sentencing in this case. Based on the overall facts of the case, your prior criminal history, your overall conduct, which includes, and the Court did consider, the

-U.S. v REDEYE - 15-CR-78 -

unrelated conduct which is outlined in count three of the indictment, which, as you know, after it is over should be dismissed, which has saved you a lot of prison time.

Upon your release from imprisonment you shall be placed on supervised release for a term of three years on each of count one and two. In accordance with 18 U.S.C. 3624, these terms of supervised release shall run concurrently. While on supervised release you shall not commit another federal, state or local crime; you shall comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by this Court.

The Court finds that, based on the nature of this instance offense, as well as the history and characteristics of yourself, the following special conditions will also be justified and necessary. Number one: You shall participate in a program for substance abuse which shall include testing for and use of controlled substances, controlled substance analogs and alcohol. This may include outpatient treatment as recommended by the treatment provider based upon your risk and needs.

You may also be required to participate in inpatient treatment upon recommendation of the treatment provider and upon approval of the Court. The probation office shall approve the location, frequency and duration

-U.S. v REDEYE - 15-CR-78 -

of outpatient treatment. You shall abide by the rules of the treatment program, which may include abstaining from the use of any alcohol. You shall contribute to the cost of any evaluation and/or treatment in an amount to be determined by the probation office based on your ability to pay and the availability of third-party payments.

You shall refrain from the use of alcohol and be subject to alcohol testing and treatment while under supervision. You shall participate in a mental health program which may include medical, psychological, psychiatric evaluation and outpatient treatment as recommended by the treatment provider.

You may also be required to participate in inpatient treatment upon recommendation of the treatment provider and upon the approval of the Court. Probation office shall approve the location, frequency and duration of any outpatient treatment. You must abide by the rules of the program which may include a medication regime. You shall contribute to the cost of any evaluation and/or treatment in an amount to be determined based on your ability to pay and the availability of third-party payments.

The Court directs that you shall reside in the Northern District of New York. Court approves your travel to the Canadian side of the Akwesasne Saint Regis

-U.S. v REDEYE - 15-CR-78 -

Mohawk Native American reservation for purposes of medical, educational, treatment or government-related appointments or as approved by the probation office. You can always apply if you want to do other travel. You shall not commit another crime in the United States or elsewhere obviously, including any violation, could be a violation of the law in any province, county, town, city, village or other subdivision of any country -- of a country or of any recognized tribe.

The Court finds, based on your financial resource, you do not have the ability to pay a fine. The law does require that you pay to the clerk of the Court a special assessment of \$200, which is due and payable immediately.

Both parties have the right to appeal this sentence. You're advised to consult with Mr. Schneller to determine if an appeal is warranted. Any appeal must be filed within 14 days, within two weeks, 14 days of this judgment. If I understand the plea agreement, you may appeal any sentence above 37 months but, again, discuss if you want to do that with your attorney.

You are remanded to the custody of the U.S.

Marshal in accordance with the terms of this sentence
and I suspect that the government may at this time move
to dismiss count --

-U.S. v REDEYE - 15-CR-78 -1 MR. BELLISS: Count three. 2 THE COURT: Yes. Count three? 3 MR. BELLISS: Yes. THE COURT: No objection, it's dismissed. 4 5 Anything further, Mr. Belliss? 6 MR. BELLISS: No, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Schneller? 8 MR. SCHNELLER: No, Judge. 9 THE COURT: I wish you good luck and hope 10 you're not back here again, Mr. Redeye. Good luck. 11 (Whereupon, proceeding concluded) 12 13 14 CERTIFICATION 15 16 I, Lisa L. Tennyson, RMR, CSR, CRR, Official Court 17 Reporter in and for the United States District Court for 18 the Northern District of New York, hereby certify that the foregoing 13 pages taken by me to be a true and 19 20 complete computer-aided transcript to the best of my 21 ability. 22 Lie L. Gerryson 23 24 Lisa L. Tennyson, R.M.R., C.S.R., C.R.R. 25 Lisa L. Tennyson, CSR, RMR, FCRR UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT - NDNY